

To: Prasad, Narendra M[NMPrasad@integrysgroup.com]
From: DelRosario, Ross
Sent: Wed 6/19/2013 6:34:57 PM
Subject: FW: North Station - update

Naren,

See Pete Felitti's thoughts on this. Not exactly the same suggestion as mine, but at least you get the legal perspective.

Ross

From: Felitti, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 1:27 PM
To: DelRosario, Ross
Cc: Adler, Kevn
Subject: RE: North Station - update

Ross,

Not our data so if they want to share it fine. As long as those who get realize it could change after it is validated.

Peter

From: DelRosario, Ross
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 1:13 PM
To: Felitti, Peter
Cc: Adler, Kevn
Subject: FW: North Station - update

Pete,

Any thoughts on this? On one hand, the data's not EPA's. Plus, the area of concern is outside the definition of the site (per previous email from Integrys). However, the data generated may impact the future remedy for the site, depending on what we find on that property. My sense is that, if it's only a matter of a month before the data's validated, my preference would be to inform the property owner to wait before the data validation is complete. We can also provide the data without validation, with the caveat that the usability of the data has yet to be determined.

Ross

From: Prasad, Narendra M [<mailto:NMPrasad@integrysgroup.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 8:56 AM
To: DelRosario, Ross
Cc: Felitti, Peter; Armstrong, Stephen H.
Subject: RE: North Station - update

Ross,

Scott Sonoc, the owner of the property thought to be owned by the City of Chicago discussed below, is requiring that in order to provide access to his site to complete sampling, we would have to share the data shortly after it's received by Integrys. He has stated he wants to transact this property and wants the data available for this purpose.

As you know, normally we don't share data unless it's been formally validated but this process can take a month or so based on our validator's workload. What's being suggested in this case is that the data be shared but made clear that it's unvalidated and can't be relied upon by other parties (for example, if the owner decides to share it with a developer).

Before we agree, I wanted to get your thoughts on this. Also, Mr. Sonic has stated that his building is slated for demolition this summer which may potentially destroy some of our wells and/or probes. I'll keep you posted as I hear more on this.

Please let me know what you think. Thanks in advance for your help.

Naren

Naren M. Prasad, P.E., MPH, LEED AP

Sr. Environmental Engineer | Environmental Services | Integrys Business Support, LLC

130 East Randolph Street, 22nd Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60601

312-240-4569

312-240-4725 *fax*

nmprasad@integrysgroup.com

www.integrysgroup.com

Providing support for Integrys Energy Group, Integrys Energy Services, Integrys Transportation Fuels, Michigan Gas Utilities, Minnesota Energy Resources, North Shore Gas, Peoples Gas, Upper Peninsula Power Company and Wisconsin Public Service.

From: Prasad, Narendra M

Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 9:10 AM

To: 'DelRosario, Ross'

Subject: RE: North Station - update

It's currently considered adjacent property. We don't have the lab data back yet to determine if impacts from the former MGP footprint (specifically ComEd) have affected it. I'll keep you posted.

Naren M. Prasad, P.E., MPH, LEED AP

Sr. Environmental Engineer | Environmental Services | Integrys Business Support, LLC

130 East Randolph Street, 22nd Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60601

312-240-4569

312-240-4725 *fax*

nmprasad@integrysgroup.com

www.integrysgroup.com

Providing support for Integrys Energy Group, Integrys Energy Services, Integrys Transportation Fuels, Michigan Gas Utilities, Minnesota Energy Resources, North Shore Gas, Peoples Gas, Upper Peninsula Power Company and Wisconsin Public Service.

From: DelRosario, Ross [<mailto:delrosario.rosauro@epa.gov>]

Sent: Monday, June 17, 2013 7:26 AM

To: Prasad, Narendra M

Subject: RE: North Station - update

Thanks for the info. Is the property within the definition of the site?

Ross

From: Prasad, Narendra M [<mailto:NMPrasad@integrysgroup.com>]

Sent: Friday, June 14, 2013 2:56 PM

To: DelRosario, Ross

Subject: North Station - update

Ross,

Just a heads up that we've been speaking with the owner of the 735 Division parcel at North

Station – that’s the property directly north of the ComEd substation at North Station mistakenly thought to be owned by the City of Chicago.

The owner mentioned he has a prospective buyer for his property and the building is schedule for demolition in the next few months. So far, we’ve done a few soil borings and installed some groundwater wells and vapor probes (but haven’t had a chance to sample the latter two). The owner has expressed concern about ongoing groundwater and vapor work at his site and what it means to him as the owner and a potential purchaser.

I’ll be looking at the preliminary soil data next week and will keep you posted.

Have a good weekend.

Naren

Naren M. Prasad, P.E., MPH, LEED AP

Sr. Environmental Engineer | Environmental Services | Integrys Business Support, LLC

130 East Randolph Street, 22nd Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60601

312-240-4569

312-240-4725 *fax*

nmprasad@integrysgroup.com

www.integrysgroup.com

Providing support for Integrys Energy Group, Integrys Energy Services, Integrys Transportation Fuels, Michigan Gas Utilities, Minnesota Energy Resources, North Shore Gas, Peoples Gas, Upper Peninsula Power Company and Wisconsin Public Service.

